Low Temperature Relaxation of the Phase In an Inhomogeneous Bose Gas

V. S. Babichenko

R.S.C. "Kurchatov Institute" Kurchatov sq. 46, Moscow 123182, Russia.

arXiv:cond-mat/0212592v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 25 Dec 2002

An effective action is obtained of a Bose gas in the bulk separated into two regions by a strong external potential depending on the single coordinate. The main attention is focused on the relaxation of the difference between phases of the weakly coupling condensates of the different bulk domains separated from each other by the external potential. The cases of low and high temperatures are considered.

The experimental realization of the Bose-Einstein condensation at ultralow temperatures in atomic vapors [1] provides an example of the systems in which the approximation of the weakly non-ideal gas is well applicable. This fact is connected with the small density of particles in the systems concerned. The realization of such systems gives the possibility of the experimental investigations of the macroscopic quantum phenomenons of different types. Recently, the manifestations of the macroscopic quantum phase and its behavior are studied actively [2-5], [8].

The investigation of the kinetic phenomena due to the relaxation of the order parameter is of a doubtless interest for the study of macroscopic quantum phenomena. In the present work we study the spatial relaxation of the phase of the order parameter in the inhomogeneous Bose gas with the weak coupling between different spatial regions due to a barrier tunneling.

The system concerned in the present work is a Bose gas of the small density in the bulk separated into two regions by a strong external potential $U\left(\overrightarrow{r}\right)$ which depends on the x coordinate and does not depend on $\overrightarrow{r}_{\perp} = (y, z)$, i.e., $U\left(\overrightarrow{r}\right) = U(x)$. For simplicity, we assume that the external potential has a rectangular shape $U(x) = U_0$ for $-d < x < d$ and $U(x) = 0$ beyond this region of the x coordinate. The height of the external potential U_0 is supposed to be the largest energy parameter in the system, in particular, $U_0 >> \mu$ where μ is the chemical potential $\mu = n\lambda$, λ is the scattering amplitude of Bose particles, and n is the density of the Bose gas. Due to this assumption the interaction between particles of the Bose gas in the region of the influence of the external potential, i.e., in the region $-d < x < d$, can be neglected.

The left and the right domains of the bulk are supposed to have the same temperature T smaller than the Bose-condensation temperature T_c. We assume that the densities of the right and the left domains of the bulk have the values $n_1 = n - \frac{1}{2}\Delta n$ and $n_2 = n + \frac{1}{2}\Delta n$ correspondingly and the difference between densities Δn is much smaller than the average density n. We will consider both the case of the nonzero Δn and the case of $\Delta n = 0$. At the same time, in these two cases the phases of the left and the right Bose condensates are supposed to be different at the initial time moment. This assumption means that at the initial time moment there is a nonzero current from one side of the bulk to another. This non-equilibrium initial state will relax to the equilibrium state having the same densities and the same phases of the condensates for both sides of the bulk if $\Delta n = 0$ or if Δn can change in time, and will relax to the stationary state if the density difference Δn keeps constant and nonzero. This relaxation process is studied in the present work.

Note that in the case of superconductors the similar initial non-equilibrium state results in the Josephson oscillations with a small damping [6], [7]. The essential distinction of the Bose gas consisting of neutral atoms from superconductors in which the Cooper pairs represent the charged objects is the presence of the gapless excitation spectrum. The presence of low energy excitations results in the change of the character of the initial state relaxation making the relaxation essentially faster. In the case of the homogeneous in y-z plane difference between the phases of the condensates the excitations radiated during the relaxation process have the one-dimensional character. The one-dimensional character of the radiated excitations results in a divergency of the small momentum correlators of these excitations. Hence, the consideration of the relaxation process to the second order in the tunneling amplitude is not correct in contrast to the case of superconductors [7] and requires more accurate analysis [9], [14], [15].

I. THE EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR THE DIFFERENCE OF PHASES.

Below the approach to the problem of the phase difference relaxation without using the perturbation theory in the tunneling amplitude is developed. For this purpose the effective action for the difference between phases of the

Bose field at the right-hand and the left-hand boundaries of the potential barrier is derived. This effective action is obtained by the integration over the bulk components of the Bose field with the fixed values of this field at the potential barrier boundaries. The obtained effective action contains the relaxation part which is proportional to the first power of the frequency of the phase field. For the small frequencies the relaxation part is found to be much larger than the usual kinetic part of the phase dynamics which is proportional to the second power of the frequency. In order to describe the phase difference relaxation process the consideration is developed in the framework of the Schwinger-Keldysh technique [10], [11] which is very convenient for the description of quantum kinetic processes.

The generating functional of the system can be written in the form $Z = \int D\psi D\overline{\psi} e \exp\{i\left(S_U + S_j\right)\}$. The action S_U of the inhomogeneous non-equilibrium Bose gas is given by

$$S_U = \oint dt \int d^3r \left\{ \overline{\psi}\left[i\partial_t + \mu\left(x\right) - U\left(x\right)\right]\psi - \frac{1}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\overline{\psi}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\psi\right) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2 \right\}$$

and the term S_j reads as $S_j = \oint dt \int d^3r \left(\overline{\psi} j + \overline{j}\psi\right)$, where j and $\overline{j}$ are the infinitely small sources. The integration over the time t in the action is realized over the time reversing contour and is denoted as $\oint dt$. The Planck constant $\hbar$ and mass m of a Bose particle are set equal to unity $\hbar = m = 1$. The chemical potential $\mu\left(x\right)$ is supposed to have the constant value $\mu_1 = \mu - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu$ for the coordinate x>d and $\mu_2 = \mu + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu$ for x<-d where the chemical potential μ obeys the equality $\mu = \lambda n$ and the chemical potential difference obeys the equality $\Delta\mu = \lambda\Delta n$. The small value of Δn results in the small value of the chemical potential difference $\Delta\mu << \mu$.

Due to the large magnitude of potential U_0 in the region x$\in [-d, d]$ the interaction between Bose particles can be neglected and the integral for Z over the fields $\psi, \overline{\psi}$ takes the Gaussian form in this region of the x-coordinate. In this connection the integral for Z over the fields $\psi\left(x, \overrightarrow{r}_\perp; t\right), \overline{\psi}\left(x, \overrightarrow{r}_\perp; t\right)$ for x$\in [-d, d]$ with the fixed magnitudes of these fields at the boundary of the region of the nonzero external potential can be calculated. Moreover, the characteristic scale of the ψ-field variation in the time and in the $\overrightarrow{r}_\perp$ space is supposed to be much larger than $1/U_0$ and $1/\sqrt{U_0}$, respectively. It is these fields that describe the slow relaxation process possessing the characteristic frequency proportional to the small tunneling coefficient. Due to this assumption the tunneling amplitude of the ψ fields has the local character for the time variable t and space variables $\overrightarrow{r}_\perp$. The magnitudes of the ψ-fields at the boundary of the region of the nonzero external potential are denoted as $\psi\left(d, \overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right) = \psi_{s1}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)$; $\psi\left(-d, \overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right) = \psi_{s2}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)$. The calculation of the integral for Z over the ψ-fields in the region x$\in [-d, d]$ gives the action in the form of the sum of four terms $S = S_{vol} + S_{surf}^{(\rho)} + S_{surf}^{(tunn)} + S_j$. The part of the action S_{vol} is

$$S_{vol} = \oint dt \int\limits_{U(x)=0} dx \int d^2r_\perp \left\{ \overline{\psi}\left(i\partial_t + \mu\right)\psi - \frac{1}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\overline{\psi}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\psi\right) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2 \right\} \tag{1}$$

where the region of the integration over the x-coordinate is the sum of the regions $(-L, -d) \cup (d, L)$. The parts of the action $S_{surf}^{(\rho)}$ and $S_{surf}^{(tunn)}$ can be represented in the form [17]

$$S_{surf}^{(\rho)} = -\frac{\varkappa}{2}\coth\left(2\varkappa d\right) \oint dt \int d^2r_\perp \left\{ \mid \psi_{s1}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right) \mid^2 + \mid \psi_{s2}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right) \mid^2 \right\} \tag{2}$$

$$S_{surf}^{(tunn)} = \frac{\varkappa}{2\sinh\left(2\varkappa d\right)} \oint dt \int d^2r_\perp \left\{ \overline{\psi}_{s1}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)\psi_{s2}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)e^{i\Delta\mu t} + \overline{\psi}_{s2}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)\psi_{s1}\left(\overrightarrow{r}_\perp, t\right)e^{-i\Delta\mu t} \right\} \tag{3}$$

where the magnitude $\varkappa$ is $\varkappa = \sqrt{2U_0}$, the index 1 denotes the right-hand side of the bulk and the index 2 denotes the left-hand side of the bulk. The term S_j can be written as $S_j = \oint dt \sum\limits_{\alpha=1,2} \left(\overline{\psi}_{s\alpha} j + \overline{j}\psi_{s\alpha}\right)$ where j and $\overline{j}$ are the infinitely small sources. Later on we assume that the width d of the potential barrier is sufficiency large so that the inequality $\varkappa d >> 1$ takes place and, thus, $\sinh\left(2\varkappa d\right) \approx \cosh\left(2\varkappa d\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}e^{2\varkappa d} >> 1$. The term $S_{surf}^{(tunn)}$ describes the tunneling between the right-hand and left-hand sides of the bulk. From the form of this tunneling part of the action it can easily be seen that the tunneling amplitude for the condensate particles and for the low energy non-condensate particles has the same nonzero magnitude in contrast with the work [8].

Our goal is the calculation of the functional integral for Z over the ψ-fields in the bulk, i.e., in the region x$\in (-L, -d) \cup (d, L)$ with the fixed values at the potential barrier boundary, and, thus, obtain the effective action for the fields at the boundary ψ_{s1}, ψ_{s2}.

Below the onedimensional character of the system and its excitations is supposed. In this supposition the considering fields depend on the x coordinate only. Later on, it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless space and time

coordinates via the following change of these variables $x \to \xi x;\ \ t \to t/\mu$. Thus, the system of units which we use later measures the quantities of the dimension of length in units of ξ and the quantities of the dimension of energy in units of μ. Moreover, we translate the x coordinate for the right-hand side of the bulk on the value -d and for the left-hand side on +d, so as the right-hand and left-hand boundaries of the potential barrier take the zero coordinates x=0.

It is convenient to represent the fields ψ in the modulus-phase form $\psi = Re^{i\varphi};\ \ \overline{\psi} = Re^{-i\varphi}$. Below the modulus R of the field ψ is represented as the sum of the saddle-point configuration $F(x)$ and the fluctuations of the modulus field ρ which characterize the density fluctuations

$$R(x,t) = F(x) + \rho(x,t) \tag{4}$$

The saddle-point configuration $F(x)$ obeys the equation

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_x^2 - F^2(x)\right) F(x) = \varkappa F(x)\,\delta(x) \tag{5}$$

and has the form $F(x) = \tanh(\mid x \mid + X_0)$, and the constant X_0 is the small value $X_0 = 1/\varkappa$. The fluctuations ρ can be represented as the sum of two summands

$$\rho(x,t) = \delta\rho(x,t) + \frac{\nabla_x F(x)}{\nabla_x F(0)}\rho_s(t) \tag{6}$$

where the fluctuations $\delta\rho(x,t)$ obey the zero boundary conditions $\delta\rho(0,t) = 0$. Note, that the second summand of (6) is the zero mode of the Hamiltonian $\widehat{H}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_x^2 - 1 + 3F^2$ which describe the dynamics of the fluctuations $\rho(x,t)$. To describe the slow process of the tunneling through the potential barrier we are interesting for the fields $\delta\rho$ and φ which are slow varying values at the space scale ξ and the time scale $1/\mu$. For the derivation of the slow field effective action we substitute the field ψ into the action (1-3) in the modulus-phase representation where the field $R(x,t)$ has the form (4) and where ρ is determined by (6). At the same time, in certain terms of the action due to the slowness of $\delta\rho$ and φ the function like $F^2(x)$ can be replaced by the function $1 - \delta(x)$, where $\delta(x)$ is the δ-function. Integrating over the fields $\delta\rho(x,t)$ and $\rho_s(t)$ in the generation functional we obtain the effective action for the slow phase field

$$S^{(slow)}[\varphi] = K\oint dt \int\limits_{U(x)=0} dx \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\varphi\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_x\varphi\right)^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\varphi_s\right)^2 + \gamma\cos\left(\Delta\varphi_s(t) + \Delta\mu t\right)\right]\delta(x)\right\}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{\varkappa}\exp(-2\varkappa d)$, the value $\Delta\varphi_s(t)$ is the difference of phases at the right-hand and the left-hand boundaries of the potential barrier $\Delta\varphi_s(t) = \varphi_{s2}(t) - \varphi_{s1}(t)$, the constant K is $K = n\xi S_\perp >> 1$ and $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac δ-function. The value $S_\perp$ is the square of the bulk section which is supposed to obey the inequality $S_\perp \lesssim \xi^2$ to ensure the 1D character of the system. The dimensionless constant $K = n\xi S_\perp$ has the large value $K >> 1$ due to the large value of the parameter $n\xi^3 >> 1$. The last inequality is ensured by the application of the gas approximation.

The last step to the derivation of the effective action for the phase difference $\Delta\varphi_s(t)$ is the integration in Z over the bulk components of the phase $\varphi(x,t)$ with the fixing boundary values $\varphi_s(t)$. For this purpose the field $\varphi(x,t)$ is represented in the form of the Fourier expansion $\varphi(x,t) = \sum\limits_k \varphi_k(t)\,e^{ikx}$ with the Fourier components φ_k obeying the condition $\varphi_s(t) = \sum\limits_k \varphi_k(t)$ where the coordinate of the right-hand boundary of the potential barrier we choose as x=0. The integration over φ_k gives

$$S_{eff}[\varphi_s] = \oint dtdt' \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\varphi_s\right)^2\delta(t-t') + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_s(t)\left[<\widehat{D}>\right]^{-1}_{t,t'}\varphi_s(t') + \gamma\cos\left(\Delta\varphi_s(t) - \Delta\mu t\right)\delta(t-t')\right\} \tag{8}$$

where we denote $<\widehat{D}> = \int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\widehat{D}_k$. In the frequency-momentum representation in the "triangular" form [10], [11] at the temperature T the phonon propagator $\widehat{D}(\omega,k)$ can be written

$$\widehat{D}(\omega,k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^A(\omega,k) \\ D^R(\omega,k) & D^K(\omega,k) \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

where $D^{R,A}(\omega,k) = \left[\left(\omega \pm i\delta\right)^2 - k^2\right]^{-1}$ and $D^K(\omega,k) = \coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)\left(D^R - D^A\right)$. The simple calculations of $<\widehat{D}>_\omega$ give

$$< \widehat{D} >_\omega = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & < D^A >_\omega \\ < D^R >_\omega & < D^K >_\omega \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

where $< D^R >_\omega = \frac{1}{i(\omega+i\delta)}$; $< D^A >_\omega = \frac{1}{-i(\omega-i\delta)}$; $< D^K >_\omega = 2\coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)\frac{1}{i\omega}$.

II. THE EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR SMALL FREQUENCIES AND THE FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION.

Introducing the half-sum and the difference of the fields instead of the fields φ_{s1} and φ_{s2} we obtain the effective action for the difference of phases at the boundary of the potential barrier. In the "triangle" representation it can be written as

$$S[\varphi] = 2K\int dt\left\{\varphi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 + i\widehat{\omega}\right]\Phi + \Phi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 - i\widehat{\omega}\right]\varphi + i\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\varphi - V[\Phi,\varphi]\right\} \tag{11}$$

where $\widehat{\omega} = i\partial_t$, the function $V[\Phi,\varphi]$ is $V[\Phi,\varphi] = \gamma\sin(\Phi+\Delta\mu t)\sin(\varphi)$. In this representation the fields Φ and φ are expressed via the fields belonging to the upper and the lower time contour branches as $\Phi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_+ + \varphi_-)$ and $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_+ - \varphi_-)$. Note that as usually in the "triangle" representation of the Keldysh-Schwinger technique the field Φ describes the kinetics of the phase difference and the field φ describes the quantum noise of this value.

The fields Φ and φ can be fragmented into fast and slow components. The slow fields are the fields having the frequencies ω obeying the inequality $\omega < \omega_0$ and the fast fields are the fields with the frequencies $\omega > \omega_0$ where $\gamma << \omega_0 << 1$. The part of the action for the fast fields is obtained by the expansion of the potential V up to the second order of the fast components $\delta\Phi$, $\delta\varphi$ and has the form

$$S^{(fast)}[\delta\varphi,\delta\Phi] = 2K\int dt\left\{\begin{array}{c}\delta\varphi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 + i\widehat{\omega}\right]\delta\Phi + \delta\Phi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 - i\widehat{\omega}\right]\delta\varphi + i\delta\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\delta\varphi - \\ -V''_{\varphi\Phi}\delta\varphi\delta\Phi - \frac{1}{2}V''_{\Phi\Phi}(\delta\Phi\delta\Phi + \delta\varphi\delta\varphi)\end{array}\right\} \tag{12}$$

$$V''_{\varphi\Phi} = \gamma\cos(\Phi+\Delta\mu t)\cos(\varphi); \quad V''_{\Phi\Phi} = V''_{\varphi\varphi} = -\gamma\sin(\Phi+\Delta\mu t)\sin(\varphi)$$

where $\delta\varphi, \delta\Phi$ are the fast components of fields and φ, Φ are the slow components. The part of the action for the slow fields can be written as

$$S^{(slow)}[\varphi] = 2K\int dt\left\{-2\varphi\partial_t\Phi - V[\Phi,\varphi] + i\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\varphi\right\} \tag{13}$$

Due to the large value of the constant $K >> 1$, so as the value $\frac{1}{K}\ln\frac{1}{\gamma}$ obeys the inequality $\frac{1}{K}\ln\frac{1}{\gamma} << 1$, the action $S^{(fast)}[\delta\varphi,\delta\Phi]$ can be approximated as

$$S^{(fast)}[\delta\varphi,\delta\Phi] = 2K\int dt\left\{\begin{array}{c}\delta\varphi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 + i\widehat{\omega}\right]\delta\Phi + \delta\Phi\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\omega}^2 - i\widehat{\omega}\right]\delta\varphi + i\delta\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\delta\varphi - \\ -V''_{\varphi\Phi} < \delta\varphi\delta\Phi >_0 - \frac{1}{2}V''_{\Phi\Phi} < \delta\Phi\delta\Phi >_0 - \frac{1}{2}V''_{\varphi\varphi} < \delta\varphi\delta\varphi >_0\end{array}\right\} \tag{14}$$

where

$$< \delta\varphi_t\delta\varphi_t >_0 = 0; \; < \delta\Phi_t\delta\Phi_t >_0 = \frac{i}{2K}\int\limits_{|\omega|>\omega_0}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}D^{(K)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi K}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\omega_0}\right); \tag{15}$$

$$< \delta\varphi_t\delta\Phi_t >_0 = i\int\limits_{|\omega|>\omega_0}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}D_0^{(R)}(\omega) = \frac{i}{8K}$$

The correlator $\widehat{D}_0$ is defined by the equality $\widehat{D}_0 = \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2}\widehat{\sigma}_x + \frac{1}{2} < \widehat{D} >^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, where $\widehat{\sigma}_x$ is the $\widehat{\sigma}_x$- Pauli matrix. Thus, taking into account the renormalizations resulting from the fast fluctuations the effective action for the slow fields can be written as

$$S^{(slow)}[\varphi,\Phi] = 2K\int dt\left\{-2\varphi(\partial_t\Phi) - V[\Phi,\varphi] + i\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\varphi - \frac{i}{8K}V''_{\varphi\Phi}\right\} \tag{16}$$

In this expression the renormalizations proportional to the correlators $< \delta\Phi_t \delta\Phi_t >_0$ give the small renormalization of the constant γ in the potential V. They can be neglected due to the smallness of the value $\frac{1}{K}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\omega_0}\right) << 1$. On the other side the renormalizations proportional to the correlators $< \delta\varphi_t \delta\Phi_t >_0$ are taken into account because they can become comparable with the relaxation term $\varphi\left[2\omega\coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)\right]\varphi$ in the case of the low temperatures and small frequencies $T \sim \frac{1}{K}$, $\omega \sim \frac{1}{K}$.

It is convenient to shift the field $\Phi \to \Phi - \Delta\mu t$. As a result of this shift the action (16) takes the form

$$S^{(slow)}\left[\varphi,\Phi\right] = 2K\int dt\left\{-2\varphi\left(\partial_t\Phi\right) - V^{(0)}\left[\Phi,\varphi\right] + i\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right)\right]\varphi - \frac{i}{8K}V^{(0)\prime\prime}_{\varphi\Phi}\right\}$$

where $V^{(0)}\left[\Phi,\varphi\right] = \gamma\sin\Phi\sin\left(\varphi\right) - v_0\varphi$, at that $v_0 = 2\Delta\mu$. Taking into account the smallness of the quantum noise φ due to the large value of the constant $K >> 1$ the action can be expanded in the powers of the field φ. This expansion gives

$$S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\varphi,\Phi\right] = 2K\int dt\left\{-2\varphi\left(\partial_t\Phi\right) + i\varphi\left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right) + \frac{1}{16K}\gamma\cos\Phi\right]\varphi - A\left(\Phi\right)\varphi - i\frac{1}{8K}A'\left(\Phi\right)\right\} \tag{17}$$

where

$$A\left(\Phi\right) = \gamma\sin\Phi - v_0; \quad A'\left(\Phi\right) = \gamma\cos\Phi \tag{18}$$

The first correction to the renormalized amplitude of the potential $V\left[\Phi,\varphi\right]$, i.e., to the constant γ with respect to the value $1/K$ has the form $\gamma^* = \gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{4\pi K}\ln\left(\frac{K}{\gamma}\right)\right)$. Integrating over φ in the generation functional Z we obtain

$$S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\Phi\right] = -\frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\left(\widehat{\Gamma}\right) + 2K\int dtdt'\left\{\frac{i}{4}\left[-2\left(\partial_t\Phi\right) - A_t\right]\widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t'}\left[-2\left(\partial_{t'}\Phi\right) - A_{t'}\right] - i\frac{1}{8K}A'_t\delta\left(t-t'\right)\right\}$$

where the correlator $\widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t'}$ is

$$\widehat{\Gamma} = \left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right) + \frac{1}{16K}\gamma^*\cos\Phi\right]^{-1} \approx \left[2\widehat{\omega}\coth\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{2T}\right) + \frac{1}{16K}\gamma^*\right]^{-1} \tag{19}$$

In the approximation of the local in time action we have

$$S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\Phi\right] = i2K\int dt\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[2\left(\partial_t\Phi_t\right) + A\left(\Phi_t\right)\right]^2\widehat{\Gamma}_0 - \frac{1}{8K}A'\left(\Phi_t\right)\right\} \tag{20}$$

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_0 = \frac{1}{4T + \frac{1}{16K}\gamma^*} = \frac{1}{4T^*} \tag{21}$$

Finally, the action can be represented in the form

$$S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\Phi\right] = i2K\int dt\left\{\frac{M}{2}\left(\partial_t\Phi\right)^2 + \frac{M}{8}A^2 - \frac{1}{8K}A'\right\} \tag{22}$$

where $M = 2\widehat{\Gamma}_0 = \frac{1}{2T^*}$. Note that the generation potential Z with the action $S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\Phi\right]$ (22) has the form corresponding to the quantum mechanics with the "imaginary time" in which the role of the "imaginary time" plays the usual real time. This action corresponds to the action which is obtained for the classical random process describing by the Langevin equation with the white noise [14] and having the form of the supersymmentric quantum mechanics. The Schredinger equation and the Hamiltonian corresponding to the action $S^{(slow)}_{eff}\left[\Phi\right]$ are

$$-\frac{1}{2K}\partial_t\Psi = \widehat{H}_{FP}\Psi \tag{23}$$

$$\widehat{H}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{\left(2K\right)^2}\frac{d^2}{2Md^2\Phi} + \frac{M}{8}A^2 - \frac{1}{8K}A' \tag{24}$$

This Hamiltonian $\widehat{H}_{FP}$ can be represented in the factorized form $\widehat{H}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{2M(2K)^2}\left(\frac{d}{d\Phi} - MKA\right)\left(\frac{d}{d\Phi} + MKA\right)$. The transformation $\Psi = \exp\{MKV(\Phi)\}P(\Phi)$, where $V(\Phi) = \gamma^*(1-\cos\Phi) - v_0\Phi$ and $A = \frac{d}{d\Phi}(V(\Phi))$ transfer the Hamiltonian (18) to the form of the Fokker-Planck operator $\widehat{L}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{2M(2K)^2}\frac{d}{d\Phi}\left(\frac{d}{d\Phi} + 2MKA\right)$. The stationary solution of the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation in the case of the zero velocity $v_0 = 0$ has the form $P(\Phi) = C_0 \exp\left(-\frac{KV(\Phi)}{T^*}\right)$, where $V(\Phi) = \gamma^*(1-\cos\Phi)$ and the constant C_0 is $C_0 = \left(\frac{K\gamma^*}{2\pi T^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In the case of the nonzero velocity v_0 the stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation is determined by the equation

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\Phi} + 2MKA\right)P(\Phi) = j$$

and can be found taking into account the condition of the periodicity of the probability distribution $P(0) = P(\Phi)$ and the normalization condition $\int\limits_0^{2\pi} d\Phi P(\Phi) = 1$ [13], [18]. The constant j is found from the normalization condition for $P(\Phi)$ and for the case of the small Δn we obtain $j = \frac{v_0}{\gamma^*}\exp\left\{-2\left(\frac{K\gamma^*}{T^*}\right)\right\}$. The probability of the phase slip process is determined by the value $(\partial_t < \Phi >) \sim j$. For the zero temperature and small velocities v_0, so as $v_0 = \Delta\mu << T^*$, the value T^* can be considered as the effective temperature and for the large time t, when the action can be considered in the local in time form, determines the diffusion coefficient. In the opposite case $v_0 = \Delta\mu >> T^*$ the diffusion coefficient is defined by the value $\widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t} = \ln\left(\frac{1}{v_0}\right)$ and this value should be substituted instead of the value (γ^*/T^*). This can be seen from the expression (20) for $\widehat{\Gamma}$ with taking into account that in the case of the large value of $\Delta\mu$ compared with T^* the frequencies ω should be cut of for the small values by the chemical potential difference $\Delta\mu$. Note, that the result for the probability of the phase slip $(1/\tau_{ps}) \sim v_0 \exp\left\{-2K\ln\left(\frac{1}{v_0}\right)\right\}$ coincides with the result of the works [15-17].

The Fokker-Planck equation describing the relaxation process of the difference of phases has been obtained both for high temperatures and for low temperatures. For high temperatures $T \gtrsim \gamma$ the obtained Fokker-Planck equation is equal to the classical Langevin equation with the white noise with the correlator proportional to 1/T [18]. For the low temperatures $T << \gamma$ and the small density difference Δn, so as the inequality $v_0 << 1/K$ takes place, the relaxation kinetics of the difference of phases is described by the Fokker-Planck equation with the effective temperature T^* and the diffusion coefficient determined by both the usual temperature and the quantum fluctuations of the Bose gas. For the small temperatures and the large value of the density difference Δn, so as $v_0 >> \gamma/K$, the diffusion coefficient is determined by the value v_0. In the last case the result is analogues to the result of the works [15-17].

The author thanks S. Burmistrov, A. Kozlov and Yu. Kagan for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and by INTAS -2001-2344.

REFERENCES

[1] M. H. Anderson, J. H. Ensher, M. B. Matthews, C. E. Weiman, and E. A. Cornell, Science 269, 198 (1995); K. B. Davis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995); C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sacklet, J. T. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995).
[2] D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Weiman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1543 (1998).
[3] F. Dalfovo, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 54, 4213 (1996).
[4] A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S. R. Shenoi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4950 (1997).
[5] I. Zapata, F. Sols, and Leggett, Phys. Rev. A 57, R28 (1998).
[6] B. D. Josephson, Phys. Lett. 1, 251 (1962).
[7] V. Ambegaokar, U. Eckern, and G. Schen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1745 (1982),U. Eckern, and G. Schen, V. Ambegaokar, Phys. Rev. B 30, 6419 (1984).
[8] F. Meier, and W. Zwerger, cond-mat/ 9904147; F. Meier, and W. Zwerger, Phys. Rev. A 64, 033610 (2001).
[9] V. S. Babichenko, cond-mat/ 0109248.
[10] L. V. Keldysh, JETP, 47, 1515, (1964).
[11] J. Schwinger, J. Math. Phys. 2, 407 (1961).

[12] R. P. Feinman, A. R. Hibbs, "Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals", N.Y., 1965.
[13] H. Risken, Z. Phys., 251, 231 (1972); K. Kawasaki, Progr. Theor. Phys. 51, 1064 (1974); R. Graham Z. Phys. B40, 149, (1980).
[14] M. V. Feigelman, A. M. Tsvelik, JETP, 83, 1430 (1982).
[15] H. P. Buchler, V. B. Geshkenbein, and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 100403 (1982).
[16] C. L. Kane and M. R. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1220 (1992).
[17] A. Schmid, Phys.Rev.Lett. 51,1506 (1983); S. A. Bulgadaev JETP Lett. 39, 315 (1984); S. E. Korshunov JETP Lett. 65, 1025 (1987).
[18] V. Ambegaokar and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1364 (1969).